VESEVTS BOAKE



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION VII

OFFICE OF REGIONAL COUNSEL 901 N. FIFTH STREET KANSAS CITY, KANSAS 66101

TO:

EAB Clerk (202) 233-0121

DATE:

March 17, 2005

RE:

FRM Chem, Inc., Docket No. FIFRA-07-2004-0041

SUPPLEMENTAL MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE

APPEAL BRIEF

MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION OF RECORD ON APPEAL

MESSAGE:

Sent under this cover are a Supplemental Motion for Extension of Time in which to File an Appeal Brief and a Motion for Clarification of Record on Appeal in the above-referenced matter. The originals will be sent via Federal Express along with the Notice of Appeal on March 17, 2005.

FROM:

Chris R. Dudding, Attorney Office of Regional Counsel

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Region VII

901 N. Fifth Street

Kansas City, Kansas 66101

Sender's Direct Dial Telephone Number: (913) 551-7524

Sender's FAX Number: (913) 551-9524

pages including cover sheet

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE

The information contained in this facsimile may be confidential or legally privileged. If you are not the individual named above as the intended recipient of this facsimile, please notify the sender immediately.

RUPERT G. THOMAS
Senior Assistant Regional Counsel

2005 MAR 18 AM 8: 41

JAYIR, APPEALS BOARD

CHRIS R. DUDDING
Assistant Regional Counsel
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region VII
901 N. 5th Street
Kansas City, KS 66101
Tel: (913) 551-7524

Fax: (913) 551-7925

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY BEFORE THE ENVIRONMENTAL APPEALS BOARD

WASHINGTON, DC

In the Matter of)	
FRM Chem, Inc.,	,	Docket No. FIFRA-07-2004-0041
a.k.a. Industrial Specialties)	
)	
Respondent)	

SUPPLEMENTAL MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE APPEAL BRIEF

Pursuant to Sections 22.7(b) and 22.16(a) of the Consolidated Rules of Practice

Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties and the Revocation/Termination or

Suspension of Permits ("Rules of Practice"), 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.7(b) and 22.16(a), the United

States Environmental Protection Agency ("Agency" or "EPA"), on March 16, 2005 filed via

facsimile (pursuant to the EAB Practice Manual Section II(D)(2)), a Motion for Extension of

Time to File Appeal Brief ("Motion"), requesting a forty-five (45) day extension, for reasons laid

out therein. EPA also simultaneously sent via facsimile a copy of the Motion to the Respondent
Appellee in this matter, FRM Chem, Inc. ("FRM" or "Respondent").

On March 15, 2005, EPA contacted via telephone Respondent's representative, Raymond Kastendieck, President of FRM, and informed him of the Agency's intent to appeal the matter and that we would be filing the Motion for Extension of Time to File Appeal Brief. During that phone conversation, Mr. Kastendieck voiced no intent to object to EPA's filing the Motion.

Accordingly, EPA represented in its Motion that the Agency had contacted the Respondent and that Respondent had voiced no intent to object to the Motion. On March 17, 2005, the morning after faxing its Motion to the EAB and to the Respondent, EPA was contacted by another representative of Respondent, Mr. Karlen Kastendieck, who stated that, due to his poor hearing, Mr. Raymond Kastendieck had misunderstood EPA's March 15 telephone call.

To ensure that the EAB is adequately apprised of Respondent-Appellee's position regarding the Agency's Motion for Extension of Time, EPA has filed this supplement to inform the Board that, based upon the phone conversation with Mr. Karlen Kastendieck on March 17, 2005, Respondent-Appellee may object to the granting of the Agency's Motion for Extension of Time.

EPA maintains that it has demonstrated good cause for its request and that granting its

Motion for Extension of Time will not result in any prejudice to Respondent.

Respectfully submitted,

Chris R. Dudding

Assistant Regional Counsel

Complainant-Appellant

By:

OF COUNSEL:

Gary Jonesi Ilana Saltzbart Carl Eichenwald Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance